

**BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION**

**IN THE MATTER OF SOUTHWESTERN )  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S )  
APPLICATION REQUESTING: )  
(1) ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF )  
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY )  
AUTHORIZING CONSTRUCTION AND )  
OPERATION OF WIND GENERATION AND )  
ASSOCIATED FACILITIES, AND RELATED ) CASE NO. 17-00044-UT  
RATEMAKING PRINCIPALS INCLUDING )  
AN ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS USED )  
DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR THE WIND )  
GENERATION AND ASSOCIATED )  
FACILITIES; AND (2) APPROVAL OF A )  
PURCHASED POWER AGREEMENT TO )  
OBTAIN WIND-GENERATED ENERGY. )  
)  
SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE )  
COMPANY, )  
)  
APPLICANT. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY**

*of*

**WILLIAM P. ZAWACKI**

*on behalf of*

**SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS AND DEFINED TERMS.....	iii
I. WITNESS IDENTIFICATION AND QUALIFICATIONS .....	1
II. ASSIGNMENT, TESTIMONY SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	3
III. WIND O&M AND THE O&M PLAN.....	6
IV. THE EVOLUTION OF WIND O&M .....	8
V. DEVELOPMENT OF THE STRATEGY FOR O&M COSTS AT COMPANY-OWNED WIND FACILITIES .....	12
VI. THE O&M STRATEGY FOR THE SAGAMORE AND HALE WIND PROJECTS .....	17
VII. ESTIMATED O&M COSTS .....	21
VIII. O&M COST COMPARISON .....	27
VERIFICATION.....	31

## **GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS AND DEFINED TERMS**

<b><u>Acronym/Defined Term</u></b>	<b><u>Meaning</u></b>
AWEA	American Wind Energy Association
MW	Megawatt
NSPM	Northern States Power Minnesota, a Minnesota corporation
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacturer
Operating Companies	NSPM; Northern States Power Company, a Wisconsin corporation; PSCo; and SPS
SPS Wind Projects	522 MW Sagamore Wind Project and 478 MW Hale Wind Project
PSCo	Public Service Company of Colorado, a Colorado corporation
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SMWA	Service Maintenance and Warranty Agreement
SPS	Southwestern Public Service Company, a New Mexico corporation
UVIG	Utility Variable–Generation Integration Group
Vestas	Vestas-American Wind Technology Inc.
Xcel Energy	Xcel Energy Inc.
XES	Xcel Energy Services Inc.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1           **I.           WITNESS IDENTIFICATION AND QUALIFICATIONS**

2       **Q.       Please state your name and business address.**

3       A.       My name is William P. Zawacki. My business address is 1400 Western Avenue,  
4           Eau Claire, Wisconsin, 54703.

5       **Q.       On whose behalf are you testifying in this proceeding?**

6       A.       I am filing testimony on behalf of Southwestern Public Service Company, a New  
7           Mexico corporation (“SPS”) and wholly-owned electric utility subsidiary of Xcel  
8           Energy Inc. (“Xcel Energy”).

9       **Q.       By whom are you employed and in what position?**

10      A.       I am employed by Xcel Energy Service Inc. (“XES”), the service company  
11           subsidiary of Xcel Energy, as Plant Director.

12      **Q.       Please briefly outline your responsibilities as Plant Director.**

13      A.       As Plant Director Regional Generation I am responsible for the overall direct  
14           operation and maintenance of the wind, hydro, and bio-mass of Xcel Energy’s  
15           owned generation. This responsibility includes managing safety, operations,  
16           engineering, maintenance, budgeting, licensing, regulatory compliance, and  
17           staffing.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 **Q. Please describe your educational background.**

2 A. I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering from the University of  
3 Illinois-Chicago, a Master of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from  
4 Illinois Institute of Technology, and Master of Business Administration degree  
5 from Cardinal Stritch University. I am a registered Professional Engineer in  
6 Wisconsin.

7 **Q. Please describe your professional experience.**

8 A. I have over 35 years of experience in the power industry covering engineering,  
9 operation, and maintenance in generation, transmission and distribution. I have  
10 been focused solely on the generation business since 1999. In 2012, I was given  
11 the additional responsibility of Xcel Energy's owned wind plants. During my  
12 career, I have held various engineering positions, front line management  
13 positions, and higher level management positions.

14 **Q. Have you testified before any regulatory authorities?**

15 A. Yes, on one occasion. I submitted pre-filed testimony in support of Public Service  
16 Company of Colorado's ("PSCo") application for approval of its Rush Creek  
17 Wind Project in Colorado Public Utilities Commission Proceeding No.  
18 16A-00117E.



Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1           4. The O&M cost estimates Xcel Energy developed for the SPS Wind  
2           Projects and a comparison of the O&M cost estimates to other wind  
3           projects to illustrate that the projected O&M costs over the estimated life  
4           of the SPS Wind Projects are reasonable.

5   **Q.   How does your testimony fit with the testimony of SPS's other witnesses**  
6           **providing direct testimony?**

7   A.   I provide the O&M cost estimates I discuss in my testimony to SPS witness  
8           Arthur Freitas, who uses them in his calculation of the revenue requirements for  
9           the SPS Wind Projects.

10 **Q.   Please summarize the recommendations and conclusions in your testimony.**

11 A.   O&M activities associated with a wind generation project have evolved  
12           significantly from the wind farm operations world of 20 years ago, mainly due to  
13           the fundamental changes in system components, and also in data collection, data  
14           analysis, and monitoring. Xcel Energy's experience with the O&M of several  
15           wind farms in its NSPM region, and in particular a staffing strategy and  
16           contracting approach to implement O&M, has led to the development of a prudent  
17           O&M model that will be applied for the Sagamore and Hale Wind Projects. A  
18           primary component of this O&M model relates to the SMWA that SPS will enter  
19           into with Vestas to perform warranty work and three years of scheduled  
20           maintenance on the wind turbine generators after final commissioning.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1           Based on the O&M model and experience with other Xcel Energy wind  
2 projects, I provide O&M estimates over the 25-year lives of the Sagamore and  
3 Hale Wind Projects. The estimates account for internal and external personnel  
4 being assigned exclusively to the SPS Wind Projects, as well as reasonable  
5 assumptions about preventative maintenance projects, unexpected maintenance  
6 requirements, and other investments that may be necessary to ensure the SPS  
7 Wind Projects produce the expected generation over their entire lives. For these  
8 reasons, as well as others discussed in my testimony, the estimated O&M costs  
9 are reasonable.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1                                   **III.           WIND O&M AND THE O&M PLAN**

2   **Q.    What topic do you discuss in this section of your testimony?**

3   A.    I describe the development of Xcel Energy’s O&M capabilities and address the  
4        general O&M plan for the SPS Wind Projects, as well as the SMWA. I also  
5        discuss how Xcel Energy’s O&M experience has evolved and what activities will  
6        be undertaken as a part of the O&M for the Sagamore and Hale Wind Projects.

7   **Q.    What is involved in the O&M of a wind project?**

8   A.    O&M activities associated with a wind project generally involve two categories of  
9        maintenance: (1) scheduled, and (2) unscheduled. Scheduled maintenance  
10       includes general preventative maintenance, while unscheduled maintenance stems  
11       from the identification of operational issues from the monitoring of the wind  
12       turbines and the subsequent repair of these identified issues.

13 **Q.    Does Xcel Energy have experience with wind farm O&M?**

14 A.    Yes and it continues to gain experience through the five wind farms that NSPM  
15       owns. Xcel Energy coordinates and applies the best practices for O&M across all  
16       four Xcel Energy Operating Companies.<sup>2</sup> Xcel Energy does so primarily by  
17       consolidating activities across company lines through XES as a service company.

---

<sup>2</sup> Xcel Energy’s electric operating companies are NSPM, Northern States Power Company - Wisconsin, PSCo, and SPS.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 This structure facilitates Xcel Energy’s ability to take what it learns from one  
2 operating company and apply it to another. After the completion of the Sagamore  
3 and Hale Wind Projects, Xcel Energy utilities will own approximately 2,450 MW  
4 of wind resources, all of which require O&M.

5 The existing wind farms in NSPM, as well as the Rush Creek Wind  
6 Project that is currently being constructed by PSCo, are shown in the tables  
7 below.<sup>3</sup>

8 **Table WPZ-1 – NSPM Wind Farms**

<b>NSP Region Wind Project</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Year of Commercial Operation</b>	<b>Nameplate Capacity (MW)</b>	<b>Number of Turbines</b>
Grand Meadow	Dexter, MN	2008	100	67
Nobles	Worthington, MN	2010	200	134
Pleasant Valley	Hayfield, MN	2015	200	100
Border Winds	Rolette, ND	2015	150	75
Courtenay	Jamestown, ND	2016	200	100
<b>Total</b>			850	476

9 **Table WPZ-2 – PSCo Wind Farm**

<b>PSCo Region Wind Project</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Year of Commercial Operation</b>	<b>Nameplate Capacity (MW)</b>	<b>Number of Turbines</b>
Rush Creek	Limon, CO	2018	600	300
<b>Total</b>			600	300

---

<sup>3</sup> Because the Rush Creek Wind Project is currently under construction, the remainder of my testimony focuses on the NSPM wind generation resources and related experience.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1                                    **IV.    THE EVOLUTION OF WIND O&M**

2    **Q.    What topic do you discuss in this section of your testimony?**

3    A.    In this section of my testimony I describe how wind farm O&M has changed over  
4           the past 20 to 25 years.

5    **Q.    What are the key areas where wind farm O&M has changed over the past 20  
6           to 25 years?**

7    A.    It is a different world than the wind farm operations world of 20 years ago, mainly  
8           due to the fundamental changes in system components, and also in data collection,  
9           data analysis, and monitoring. There are two general areas where wind farm  
10          O&M has changed significantly over this time period: (1) the development and  
11          deployment of centralized data systems; and (2) increases in turbine size.  
12          Technological developments have led to fundamental changes in the way the Xcel  
13          Energy’s wind farm O&M strategies are developed and deployed.

14   **Q.    Please explain the first of the two general areas, the development and  
15          deployment of centralized data systems.**

16   A.    Centralized data systems allow Xcel Energy to continuously collect data on key  
17          metrics that affect the performance of wind turbines such as vibration and  
18          temperature. Today, Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (“SCADA”)  
19          systems have been the primary form of centralized data systems deployed at Xcel

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 Energy-owned wind facilities. These systems allow for remote monitoring and  
2 control over communication channels. Collecting data on turbine vibration on  
3 bearings and gears is an important indicator of negative operational issues with a  
4 turbine. It allows early detection of operational issues so more widespread  
5 problems do not develop. It also allows the scheduling of work during low wind  
6 periods. Collection of temperature data is also important because it can indicate  
7 early component damage. For example, a hot generator bearing can indicate  
8 under or over greasing or internal bearing damage. Knowing about these issues  
9 early allows Xcel Energy to correct or manage the situation before additional and  
10 more costly damage occurs.

11 Centralized data systems such as SCADA systems have also led to  
12 improved monitoring activities. With improved data availability and collection on  
13 issues such as vibration and temperature, Xcel Energy has been able to develop  
14 trend analyses and identify potential operations issues more quickly. Issues such  
15 as vibration can be slow in developing. Accordingly, looking at performance  
16 trends and continuous data from turbines can help to identify potential problems  
17 early and allows us to react to such problems quickly.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 **Q. Please explain the second general area, the increases in turbine size.**

2 A. Over time, turbines have grown much larger, such as the 2.0 MW turbines being  
3 used for the Sagamore and Hale Wind Projects. Land-based turbines have grown  
4 taller and rotor diameters have become larger, which allows more wind to be  
5 captured. The internal components such as the gearbox and generators have also  
6 increased in size, which has allowed for a corresponding increase in power output.

7 In the last decade, turbine manufacturers have focused on workhorse  
8 turbines by refining the previous models to improve reliability and increase power  
9 output through improved sub-components, blade designs, and control system  
10 upgrades while generally using the same drive train equipment. Increases in  
11 turbine size over the years, combined with the increasingly sophisticated  
12 monitoring that has developed over the same timeframe, has refined Xcel  
13 Energy's ability to monitor more points on the turbine and identify issues more  
14 quickly due to the increased condition monitoring. Moreover, the use of  
15 condition-based monitoring systems has increased because it has become more  
16 cost effective with the larger MW turbines. This is the case because the same  
17 hardware is required to be installed regardless of turbine size.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 **Q. How would you characterize the evolution of wind turbine O&M?**

2 A. O&M monitoring and analysis is more sophisticated than it previously was due to  
3 the developments and advancements described above. Further, as wind  
4 technologies have matured, there are more robust user groups that have developed  
5 to share issues and collaborate to upgrade parts and eliminate future failures. Xcel  
6 Energy is an active member of two of these user groups, the American Wind  
7 Energy Association (“AWEA”), and the Utility Variable–Generation Integration  
8 Group (“UVIG”). The forums these groups provide are a way for industry  
9 personnel and other stakeholders to share information on what they are doing to  
10 operate and maintain turbines and continue to improve and refine industry best  
11 practices regarding wind facility performance and reliability.



Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 technologies and increases in wind resources. As the utility-owned fleet has  
2 grown, Xcel Energy has seen significant value in: (1) the use of dedicated internal  
3 employees to manage O&M on the wind farms; and (2) being responsible for  
4 these O&M activities on a day-to-day basis. In turn, the staff assigned to these  
5 respective facilities has grown more sophisticated and internal expertise has  
6 continued to develop as technologies related to O&M have matured, as described  
7 earlier in my testimony.

8 At the wind farms in the NSPM region, Xcel Energy has dedicated teams  
9 that are responsible for O&M activities. The activities involved in O&M,  
10 including data monitoring and analysis to determine trends and other issues, are a  
11 natural extension of the O&M that Xcel Energy has been doing on conventional  
12 power plants for years. Therefore, Xcel Energy has developed the model of  
13 having dedicated internal staff for O&M as a key part of its broader staffing  
14 strategy.

15 **Q. How do external contractors fit into the staffing model?**

16 A. Xcel Energy also employs external contractors as part of its staffing strategy for  
17 wind farm O&M. Based on the NSPM experience, Xcel Energy found that during  
18 the initial phase of wind farm operation, utilizing the original equipment  
19 manufacturer (“OEM”) (i.e., the turbine manufacturer) to perform these services

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 is effective for several reasons. First, it lowers the risk of claims of inadequate  
2 maintenance during the three-year warranty period. Second, it allows Xcel  
3 Energy to readily obtain controls and software updates that help to maintain  
4 reliability. Examples of controls and software updates include changes to the  
5 computer logic and updates to alarm settings. These updates help maintain  
6 reliability by clearing out nuisance faults and modifying the computer control  
7 logic to allow for improved performance. This approach also allows Xcel Energy  
8 internal personnel to work closely with the OEM during this period on process  
9 and procedures for maintaining the turbines, which is important because the OEM  
10 teams have the most up-to-date understanding of the latest technological  
11 advances, and Xcel Energy can gain greater knowledge of technological changes,  
12 which in turn leads to improved O&M on the turbines over their useful life.

13 Xcel Energy has a second phase of the use of external contractors for wind  
14 farm O&M, as well. This phase involves putting the external maintenance  
15 contract out to bid after the expiration of the contract with the OEM. This second  
16 contract may be with the OEM or with a new third party. It has been Xcel  
17 Energy's experience that putting this work up for competitive bidding after the  
18 initial service agreement expires reduces O&M costs over the remaining years of  
19 the facilities.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 **Q. How does Xcel Energy work with the OEM or other third party contractors**  
2 **to provide O&M activities?**

3 A. Xcel Energy internal staff monitors and coordinates with contractors from the  
4 OEM or another third party to perform the O&M for the facility at issue. Xcel  
5 Energy coordinates on scheduled maintenance, as well as responding to issues at  
6 the site. The OEM or third party contractor bears responsibility for third party  
7 reporting at the site, and the third party contractor will typically inform the  
8 internal Xcel Energy employees when an operations issue is identified.

9 In an instance where monitoring of SCADA data or other O&M-related  
10 monitoring has revealed a potential operations issue, internal Xcel Energy staff  
11 will direct the external contractors to schedule a technician to go out to the turbine  
12 in question. Depending upon the nature of the potential problem, the team that  
13 goes out to the turbine may include both internal and external personnel. Once at  
14 the turbine, they may insert a camera in the gearbox to see if the camera identifies  
15 the problem, or inspect the turbine for wear and tear that is leading to the  
16 operations issue. In other circumstances there may be too much grease and the  
17 grease needs to be flushed out or perhaps the auto lubers are not working properly  
18 such that an appropriate amount of grease is not being added to the turbine to  
19 allow for efficient operation. The point is that, regardless of the issue, Xcel

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 Energy personnel are working hand in hand with the OEM or other third party  
2 contractor to address the cause of the issue and fix it as timely as possible.

3 **Q. How are the wind farms monitored?**

4 A. Xcel Energy has internal and external staff on site during normal business hours,  
5 so if an issue arises during this time we can respond quickly. After hours, the  
6 SCADA system is monitored remotely and personnel can be dispatched if an issue  
7 arises that requires an immediate response. Turbines can also be turned off  
8 remotely if a problem is observed and the turbine needs to be taken offline.  
9 Under both emergency and non-emergency situations, however, the O&M  
10 response is just like any other power plant: when you get an alarm, you go out  
11 there and address it as soon as practicable given the issue.



Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 across the world. Xcel Energy uses the same turbine technology at the Courtenay  
2 Wind Farm, Pleasant Valley Wind Project, and Border Winds Project in the  
3 NSPM region. Because of the similarity of turbines, the operating and  
4 maintenance for the SPS Wind Projects is expected to be comparable to what  
5 Xcel Energy has experienced at the NSPM wind projects.

6 Based on my experience, and consistent with the approach used for the  
7 NSPM wind projects, a two-phased approach of: (1) having dedicated internal  
8 staff to oversee and manage the O&M along with the OEM team for the first three  
9 years; and (2) retaining the OEM or another third party O&M contractor team for  
10 the second phase of external O&M contracting constitutes a sound approach to  
11 O&M of a wind generation facility.

12 **Q. What types of expertise do these internal personnel have that is relevant to**  
13 **operating and maintaining the SPS Wind Projects?**

14 A. The internal staff that will oversee the O&M of the SPS Wind Projects will  
15 consist of plant management, engineering, and administrative personnel. I expect  
16 that up to six internal personnel, who will be SPS employees, will be engaged in  
17 day-to-day operations of the facility. These personnel will have related  
18 operational and maintenance experience depending on their area of expertise and  
19 assigned duties.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1           In addition, XES personnel will provide various support services. These  
2 support services will include technical service groups for assistance with  
3 engineering issues, material and chemical analysis, grid reliability, equipment  
4 analysis, safety, and site security.

5 **Q. Please describe the SMWA that SPS will enter into with Vestas to cover**  
6 **external O&M for the initial three years of SPS Wind Projects' operation.**

7 A. The SMWA will be a three-year contract that obligates Vestas to perform  
8 warranty work and periodically required scheduled maintenance. In particular,  
9 the SMWA will cover warranty work and scheduled maintenance for the towers,  
10 turbines, generators, blades, and associated equipment for the O&M of the wind  
11 turbines that will be installed over the term of the agreement. Examples of  
12 warranty work include replacement of failed parts such as bearings, electronic  
13 components and the labor associated with the replacement. The SMWA will not  
14 cover maintenance of roads, the collector system, or the substations. SPS will  
15 separately contract that work out.

16           I note that whether the SMWA ultimately goes into effect is conditioned  
17 on SPS receiving necessary regulatory approvals of both the Sagamore and Hale  
18 Wind Projects.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 **Q. Why will SPS enter into the SMWA?**

2 A. As discussed in detail earlier in my testimony, Xcel Energy has good experience  
3 by contracting with the original equipment manufacturer for the initial years of  
4 wind farm operation then transitioning to the second phase of external contracting  
5 after the wind site is up and running for a few years. In addition, end of warranty  
6 inspections near the end of the three-year SMWA term are also planned prior to  
7 the turbine warranty expiring to ensure any unreported issues or damage are  
8 repaired under the terms of the warranty agreement. Therefore, entering into the  
9 SMWA will be the best course of action from an O&M standpoint for the first  
10 three years of operation of the SPS Wind Projects. This agreement is a part of the  
11 broader Turbine Supply Agreement which is described in the testimony of SPS  
12 witness Riley Hill.



Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 **Q. Based on your experience, are the O&M estimates for the SPS Wind Projects**  
2 **reasonable?**

3 A. Yes. First, the O&M costs are consistent with the O&M costs for the NSPM wind  
4 projects. A comparison with non-Xcel Energy utilities is more difficult as other  
5 utilities do not share O&M with one another or share this data publicly. Earlier in  
6 my testimony, however, I discussed our participation in the AWEA and UVIG  
7 user groups. Members of my team had discussions through these groups with  
8 other utility personnel that oversee wind farm O&M. My team compared notes  
9 on what technologies and general O&M strategies are working or not working to  
10 continually make improvements. Based on discussions with my team about these  
11 interactions, our O&M estimate is appropriate and reasonable. This conclusion is  
12 borne out by the comparative exercise I go through later in my testimony.

13 **Q. What is the estimated life of the Sagamore and Hale Wind facilities?**

14 A. Each has an estimated life of 25 years.

15 **Q. What are the estimated O&M costs over the lives of the SPS Wind Projects?**

16 A. The estimated O&M costs over the 25-year estimated lives of the SPS Wind  
17 Projects are approximately \$547 million for the Sagamore Wind Project and \$479  
18 million for the Hale Wind Project. The total costs for each project are broken out  
19 into nine major categories in Tables WPZ-3 and WPZ-4 (next page).

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1  
2  
3

**Table WPZ-3**  
**Total Estimated O&M Expenses Over 25 Year Life for Sagamore Wind Project**

<b>Line Item</b>	<b>Cost Category</b>	<b>Amount (Nominal dollars)</b>
1	Company Labor	\$16,322,095
2	Gen Tie Line Maintenance	\$40,860
3	Collection System Maintenance	\$3,187,071
4	Contract Labor	\$286,130,226
5	Land Leases	\$88,704,167
6	Materials	\$115,026,004
7	O&M and Sub Buildings	\$1,431,941
8	Miscellaneous Expenses	\$10,184,014
9	Projects	\$26,050,688
	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$547,077,066</b>

4  
5  
6

**Table WPZ 4**  
**Total Estimated O&M Expenses Over 25 Year Life for Hale Wind Project**

<b>Line Item</b>	<b>Cost Category</b>	<b>Amount (Nominal dollars)</b>
1	Company Labor	\$15,845,156
2	Gen Tie Line Maintenance	\$254,380
3	Collection System Maintenance	\$2,778,613
4	Contract Labor	\$255,552,091
5	Land Leases	\$68,245,273
6	Materials	\$103,258,924
7	O&M and Sub Buildings	\$1,039,415
8	Miscellaneous Expenses	\$9,079,500
9	Projects	\$23,353,906
	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$479,407,259</b>

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 **Q. Please describe the nine categories of O&M costs that are included in the**  
2 **estimates.**

3 A. Company Labor reflects estimated labor expenses over the lives of the SPS Wind  
4 Projects.

5 Gen Tie Line Maintenance and Collection System Maintenance covers  
6 maintenance activities associated with the Gen Tie Lines and Collection System  
7 for the SPS Wind Projects. Examples of Gen Tie Line Maintenance activities  
8 include: (1) line and vegetation inspections; and (2) minor repairs. Examples of  
9 Collection System Maintenance activities include: (1) underground splice, cable,  
10 and connection failure repairs; (2) switchgear repairs; and (3) preventive  
11 maintenance checks and tests.

12 Contract Labor includes expected labor expenses under the SMWAs, as  
13 well as forecasted external labor costs to conduct O&M activities on the turbines  
14 and transmission line(s). This number includes an estimate of the expected costs  
15 of the subsequent O&M services agreement that will be entered into with a third-  
16 party contractor (or perhaps Vestas if it provides the best offer) following the  
17 expiration of the SMWA.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1           Land Leases consist of the costs associated with land lease payments over  
2           the 25-year estimated lives of the SPS Wind Projects. These costs are discussed  
3           in the testimony of SPS witness Hill.

4           Materials includes costs of materials necessary for O&M such as oils,  
5           grease, filters, and small spare mechanical and electrical parts.

6           O&M and Sub Buildings include costs associated with building utilities  
7           such as heating, cooling, water, and janitorial services.

8           Miscellaneous Expenses include costs such as employee expenses,  
9           training costs, office supplies, and safety supplies.

10           Finally, Projects include costs for activities like leading edge blade repairs,  
11           gearbox oil changes, and end of warranty inspections.

12   **Q. Are the O&M estimates dollars escalated?**

13   A. Yes. The dollars were totaled and then escalated to account for inflation.

14   **Q. Do estimated O&M costs vary from year to year?**

15   A. Yes. The estimated annual O&M cost varies from year to year. The variance in  
16           costs from year to year is primarily based on preventive long-term maintenance  
17           items.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 **Q. Are the O&M costs you have listed the only costs associated with maintaining**  
2 **the project?**

3 A. No. Capital replacement costs will also be necessary over the lives of the SPS  
4 Wind Projects, which have been included in SPS witness Freitas's revenue  
5 requirements model.

6 **Q. Please describe these capital replacement costs for the Sagamore and Hale**  
7 **Wind Projects.**

8 A. Although preventive maintenance will keep turbines from degrading in the  
9 long-term, the projected capital budgets account for the potential failure and  
10 replacement of turbine components such as blades, blade bearings, gearboxes and  
11 generators. To account for these capital replacement costs, Xcel Energy included  
12 \$96.6 million for the Sagamore Wind Project and \$86.7 million for the Hale Wind  
13 Project for their 25-year-lives.



Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 approximately \$547 million for the Sagamore Wind Project and \$479 million for  
2 the Hale Wind Project.

3 I then took the total modeled production of the Sagamore and Hale Wind  
4 Projects over their 25-year-term lives of 59,735,000 MWh and 51,922,500 MWh,  
5 respectively. I arrived at these figures by taking the estimate of SPS witness  
6 David Deluca of 2,389,400 MWh/year (Sagamore) and 2,076,900 MWh/year  
7 (Hale) of production and multiplying them by 25.

8 For the final step, I divided the total estimated O&M expenses of \$547  
9 million for the Sagamore Wind Project and \$479 million for the Hale Wind  
10 Project by the total estimated 25-year production of 59,735,000 MWh (Sagamore)  
11 and 51,922,500 MWh (Hale). Based on this calculation, I arrived at \$9.16/MWh  
12 for the Sagamore Wind Project and \$9.23/MWh for the Hale Wind Project.

13 **Q. How does the \$9.16/MWh for the Sagamore Wind Project and the**  
14 **\$9.23/MWh for the Hale Wind Project compare to the O&M related findings**  
15 **in the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory report?**

16 A. First, the report includes a general caveat about the O&M data reviewed,  
17 specifically referencing “the scarcity, limited content and varying quality of the  
18 data ....”<sup>4</sup> Nevertheless, I still believe it is a useful exercise and instructive to

---

<sup>4</sup> Attachment RH-8 at 70.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 compare the estimated SPS Wind Projects' O&M costs to this data set. The  
2 report analyzed a sizeable number of wind projects and found that the "capacity-  
3 weighted average 2000–2015 O&M costs for the 24 projects in the sample  
4 constructed in the 1980s equal \$35/MWh, dropping to \$24/MWh for the 37  
5 projects installed in the 1990s, to \$10/MWh for the 65 projects installed in the  
6 2000s, and to \$9/MWh for the 28 projects installed since 2010."<sup>5</sup> The  
7 \$9.16/MWh for the Sagamore Wind Project and the \$9.23/MWh for the Hale  
8 Wind Project are higher than the \$9/MWh average for the most recent set of wind  
9 projects analyzed by the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

10 **Q. What conclusions can you draw from this comparative analysis?**

11 A. First, the fact that our O&M estimate is higher than the Lawrence Berkeley  
12 National Laboratory data set should not be misinterpreted. The Lawrence  
13 Berkeley Study notes that its O&M cost data is not perfect, as the cited caveat  
14 notes above. However, the delta between these two figures illustrates that our  
15 O&M estimate is reasonable. SPS has taken an estimation approach with regard  
16 to O&M that accounts for internal and external personnel being assigned  
17 exclusively to the Hale and Sagamore Wind Projects, and has also made  
18 reasonable assumptions about preventative maintenance projects, as well as

---

<sup>5</sup> Attachment RH-8 at 70.

Case No. 17-00044-UT  
Direct Testimony  
of  
William P. Zawacki

1 unexpected maintenance requirements and other investments that may be  
2 necessary to ensure that the SPS Wind Projects produce the expected generation  
3 over their entire lives.

4 Although it may seem like an oversimplification, I tend to look at O&M of  
5 power plants as being just like upkeep on a car. If you undertake the maintenance  
6 necessary and keep it in top flight condition, it will last for a period of time and  
7 accommodate a large amount of mileage. SPS will take the same approach for the  
8 operating and maintenance of the SPS Wind Projects. Thus, the O&M cost  
9 estimates reflects a strategy that will keep the turbines in good condition, while  
10 accounting for capital expenses to replace key turbine components as necessary.

11 For these reasons, the comparison supports a finding that the estimated  
12 O&M costs are reasonable.

13 **Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed direct testimony?**

14 A. Yes.

